MR. HOLLEY: To answer your question, this document that Mr. Harris gave me says, Revised August 3rd, '05. So it's pretty new, the revision. Now, yeah, I admit -- I mean, I take I'm your city manager, and I've been on board about six months. But we were trying to protect the City's interest. Okay? The reasons those boxes are back there in the hall, we didn't have anymore room. personnel files were not left in the hall always. We were trying to make room. Ms. Gunnels had control over those. We're not aware that any city employee went in those files while they were in there.

Now, you know, they talked about abandoned -- I mean, property thrown away and destroyed. The Supreme Court has ruled that once you throw something in the trash can, then you no longer have a right of privacy. That's why some news man that wants to can go to your house. And, if your trash can is out by the road, he can go through your trash. This was not property thrown away. It was entrusted to city employees to put on the back of a city vehicle to try to save the city money because

25

1.4

2.0

they were burning a house. They actually scattered the files all over the floor like cinder so it would be properly burned.

Now, I think what is important here is that if Mr. Van Meter thought that, oh, something is amiss, they've made arguments that those files were left there where anybody could get them. If he had been a police officer in this City for a total 27 years, why didn't he take control? Why didn't he go to his supervisor? Why didn't he come to me and say, y'all need to protect the property.

Second of all, like Mr. McCoy asked and I asked him earlier, why didn't you tell somebody? Now, he took my file. Quite frankly, I didn't know I had a file from 1977. He could have slipped it under my door. As he said, he knew where I lived. He could have brought it to my house. He had my city cell phone number. And he didn't.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: I understand that. But my question is we have got him not charged but he has been disciplined for theft.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes, sir. Nobody gave him permission to get on the back of a truck and

take property, sensitive property. And, as you 1 know, right now, with the name and the social 2 security number, you can steal identity. Now, 3 I asked Dean --4 COUNCILMAN MALONE: Okay. They stayed in 5 the hall for nine to 10 days. Anybody could 6 have went in there and got them. MR. HOLLEY: No, sir, not all personnel 8 files were in there. 9 COUNCILMAN MALONE: We don't know that. 10 The statement doesn't say that. 11 MR. HOLLEY: Well, none were taken back 12 there, Mr. Malone. 13 MR. NIX: If anybody had taken them, that 14 would have been theft, too. 15 COUNCILMAN MALONE: I understand that. 16 MR. HOLLEY: If we find out somebody took 17 them, they should be disciplined or prosecuted, 18 also. 19 COUNCILMAN MALONE: I understand that. 2.0 they was left unattended for nine to 10 days. 21 MR. HOLLEY: But that doesn't make it right 22 for him to take them. 23 COUNCILMAN MALONE: Well, I'm not saying he 24 wasn't wrong for taking them. But the theft --25

we got him for theft.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes, sir. I asked him -COUNCILMAN MALONE: What is the value?
What is the monetary value?

MR. HOLLEY: The intrinsic value is not important. It's the fact that those files did contain personal information. I asked Mr. Van Meter in front of this council, as long as you've worked here, have you ever known of one employee to be in possession of somebody else's personnel file? No.

Second of all, I asked him in the hearing,
Dean, did you read in those files? He said,
No, I didn't read them. But yet there's a
statement taken by one of your detectives from
a police officer, the police officer says he
ran into Dean's son, Preston. And Preston,
according to the police officer, said, Hey, my
daddy is on administrative leave, paid
administrative leave because he took some
files. And something to the effect, Now, Brian
Poe can sue the city again. So that indicates
somebody had to read the file.

Now, there's a bigger issue here, too.

Okay? Your police chief, the department head,

recommended termination. After looking at the 1 facts, after a proper due process hearing, 2 after answering questions, my questions, after 3 having him listen and the lawyers present, I 4 upheld the department head's recommendation. 5 If you all don't, then you're going to create more problems for the City. What are you going to do when a street 8 department employee takes a couple of fittings 9 because he might run a business on the side? 10 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Judge Milford, don't you 11 think that this type of discussion is probably 12 going to be better for deliberation after we 13 have made a decision whether it's an actual 14 15 theft or not? 16

JUDGE MILFORD: Well, the hearing is supposed to be informal as possible. And I've been trying to do that.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: I do have one question for the city inspector. Is he here?

MR. NIX: Who?

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Jerry.

MR. HOLLEY: I don't know.

COUNCILMAN YARBROUGH: He said he was going home when he locked the city hall up over

there.

1.3

2.4

MR. HARRIS: Could I make a response to something that Judge Holley just said?

JUDGE MILFORD: I don't see why not.

MR. HARRIS: Judge Holley is sitting there saying, you know, bottom line is he took the files. And, you know, the way I see this — and maybe I'm wrong — the same reason he asked him, well, why didn't you ask somebody? Why didn't you do this? The answer to that is real simple. It's the same reason that those files were left in the hall for nine days. And it's the same reason that they were left scattered in a house for several hours in an abandoned house all over the floor, and it's the same reason that the City of Lanett did not abide by state law by not getting permission to destroy these files, because nobody ever thought about it.

Dean just didn't think there was anything wrong with it. And, if he didn't think anything was wrong with it, it's not a theft because there's no intent. And you can call it administrative. You can call it a disciplinary action. You can call it a disciplinary

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

charge. You can call it anything you want to but call the man a thief. Calling a man who has been a police officer for 27 years a thief and you're labeling him as that with these charges because he made a mistake and the City has made a mistake here. And I think at the end of the day, every one of y'all up there, everybody here, I've known everybody here just about, for a long time. And there ain't a soul here that's not a decent human being and not conscientious about what they're doing right here tonight. And I think that the best way that the City could be served here, the best way is for everybody to take responsibility and say we made some mistakes. We don't have a proper procedure. We didn't look at the state We didn't follow it. We made a mistake.

The chief of police, maybe he jumped the gun a little bit on what he thought happened here. He didn't even get Dean's side of the story before he started an investigation. Got Teddy Morris's summary in there of his investigation. The chief of police told him who to interview and what to do. He didn't gather facts. He started trying to go prove a

case that Dean Van Meter was a thief.

2.4

And what the City of Lanett would be best served by, in my opinion — and this is an informal hearing, so I can give my opinion — is for everybody to just sit back, take some responsibility and say, hey, we've made some mistakes here. Let's address it so it doesn't happen again. Then everybody wins. Everybody wins. You're not throwing a man who has given 27 years of his life away. You folks have met your responsibility. Judge gets a procedure that he can deal with from now on so it doesn't happen again. And the people of the City of Lanett are best served. That's what needs to happen here.

MR. NIX: Let me respond. Bill made some good points, and Mr. Malone made some good points. And, as the city attorney, I would advise the council and -- excuse me -- the city manager who is the chief employment officer of the City, if other mistakes were made, other discipline needs to be meted out.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: I agree.

MR. NIX: If we find, as Mr. Harris very astutely and eloquently stated, that we didn't

2.4

follow a policy, by golly, let's do something about it. Somebody else screwed up. Call them on the carpet. Give them a discipline. I agree with him in part.

MR. HARRIS: Well, if you agree with me,
Mr. Nix, why wasn't there an investigation done
to talk about these files that were sitting
there in the hallway? Was there an
investigation to determine if anything was
taken out of those files?

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Would we have known if we had any other problems had we not done an investigation? Would we have known that there were other problems that you're pointing out, because you asked the question, why did we do an investigation --

MR. HARRIS: No. I'm saying why wasn't one done? Nobody did an investigation to determine if anything was taken out of those files.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: No, you say -- you asked --

MR. HARRIS: There may very well have been something taken out of those files that sat out in the hallway for nine days. But you don't know it because you didn't have somebody as

honest as Dean Van Meter to say, hey, I made a mistake. Yeah, I got ones that came off the truck. Here they are. There may have been files out of that box that are right now somewhere else that you don't know about.

MR. NIX: Well, you know, Mr. Harris,
Mr. Van Meter isn't the one that came up first
with this. And you've read the investigation
file. It came not from Mr. Van Meter. And I
would tend to agree with you if Dean had come
up and gone, you know, boy, I thought about it
and I'm changing my mind. But all of this came
up because somebody saw him. My response to
you would you be -- and I think you're right -hey, if we had seen somebody rooting around in
those files and somebody had come to Joel and
go, hey, there's some files sitting out there
in the hall --

MR. HARRIS: But you don't know that anybody didn't root in it, is my point, because that wasn't investigated.

MR. HOLLEY: Mr. Mayor, it doesn't matter. It doesn't really matter. If we need a written policy to destroy records, we can develop that. If we had had a written policy, if we

didn't comply with every "I," dot every "T" in that, this still doesn't change the fact that an employee got in the back of a pickup truck -- not by a barrel, but on the back of a pickup truck and went through files.

MAYOR CRAWLEY: And where was the truck located?

MR. HOLLEY: Back of the fire department.

MR. HARRIS: Beside the barrel they were burning. Beside the barrel.

MAYOR CRAWLEY: Were they burning? Were the files being burned in the barrel?

MR. VAN METER: I don't know. I didn't see any smoke or anything coming out.

MR. HOLLEY: If you read the statements, there are three firemen that say that. Yes, sir. They're present.

MAYOR CRAWLEY: My question to you all is what I want to know is was the files was city property and were they on city property? And were they taken off of city property? It doesn't have to be files. It could have been anything. It could have been that recorder.

MR. INGRAM: Mr. Mayor, answer yes, yes, and yes to each one of those questions. The

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fact of the matter is once the problem appeared to Mr. Van meter, he immediately returned the files. Not only tried to, he did return the files that he had in his possession.

Now, Mr. Nix likes to keep making the statement, yeah, he returned them because he got his hand caught in the cookie jar. Well, are we not here because the City got their hand in the cookie jar, too, because they didn't -something was done wrong and now their hand is caught in the cookie jar? And we're in the same procedure because Mr. Van meter is the problem here? That's not the case. You know, he didn't do anything intentionally. Y'all didn't do anything intentionally. He's wanting his job back. He loves this City. He has served this City, and that's what this is all He doesn't want to walk out of here and about. be in disagreement with anyone here. He made a mistake. He admitted his mistake. investigation started. Word came down to him. He says, hey, I guess I've done something wrong. I'm going to bring it back. His hand wasn't caught in the cookie jar. This is an honest man. If he thought he was doing

2.3

something wrong, maybe he would have contacted somebody and said, hey, can I have these files?

Y'all all have known this man. You've known him for a long time. You know what kind of person he is, what kind of individual he is. Don't make a scapegoat out of him because something else was not done right and something happened in the City and some files got missing. You know, this is not the right place or the right time or the right person to do this to.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: The statement right here. I mean, I know I'm not doing this, but this is written by Mr. Hal Shealey, and this bothers me. I know that this -- he said here that when they went to scatter the files through this vacant house, he noticed some furniture that was inside the house.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: What?

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Some furniture, contents. He went back. When they went back to burn it, the furniture was gone. So that means somebody had entered this house. And who can say that when they entered it that they

didn't take any files? The statement said they scattered files.

MR. NIX: And, if we find out who they are, we'll either charge them with theft or discipline them.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: There were a lot of errors made. That's what I'm saying.

MR. HARRIS: Mayor Crawley, I would like to respond to what you're talking about, about the recorder, the comment that you made that it was no different than the recorder.

MAYOR CRAWLEY: Yes.

MR. HARRIS: In principle, I agree with you. But, in this situation, I can't agree with you and the reason being is we're talking about these files that Dean thought were of no value and were being discarded and were trash, whereas that recorder is something obviously of value and obviously belongs to somebody. And he would know that that was somebody's property. I mean, I think we're talking apples and oranges there. And so I don't really think that your analogy there is admirable. I mean, that's what, as I see it. He thought this was trash. He thought it was discarded stuff that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was of no value to anybody, to the City or anything else. Dean or anybody else is not going to come in here and take that tape They would know that was theft of recorder. They know that belongs to the City. property. It's in this building. It's sitting there. It's not beside a burning barrel with five other tape recorders sitting there burned up in a barrel in a truckload that they're going back and forth putting in the barrel. So, now, I don't think that analogy is really fair with some other type of property. I mean, we kind of got a unique situation here, I guess is what I'm saying, as far as the property itself.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: When we get those people to sign that release whenever we burn houses, are we taking responsibility of that property until that is completed? Are we assuming any liability on those houses or anything?

MR. HOLLEY: If we get a court order or a release to burn a house, then the owner has had plenty of time to remove anything they want. Anything that's left in the house, when they give notice of the burning, is assumed that they don't want. Now, I don't know anything

about any furniture.

But, see, the problem here is that for whatever they say, Dean took those files. The only thing they can do is do deflect blame on somebody else. If they want to blame me, that's fine. If they want to blame Ms. Gunnels, that's fine.

MR. INGRAM: Judge, we're not blaming anybody here tonight.

MR. HOLLEY: But deflecting guilt. Okay?

MR. INGRAM: We're not deflecting guilt.

MR. HOLLEY: Well, yes, you are. You're saying because we didn't do this or we didn't do that, you shouldn't do this. It doesn't matter if other people took files. If we know about it, we'll do that, too. But, you know, all the mayor and council are trying to do things right in the City. And by golly I certainly have tried to do that since I been down here for the last six and a half months. To do the best we can for the welfare of the City. And, in making my decision, I even put in writing that my consideration had to not only go to this employee but to the welfare of the City as a whole.

For whatever reason, this is a 27-year law enforcement man. Any reasonable person would have known those were personnel files and you ask somebody before you take them. If Dean wanted to give me my file for sentimental reasons, he had 26 or 27 days to do it. For whatever reason, he didn't return them until he found out there was an investigation. I'm sorry. He did it. And whatever y'all decide is going to set precedent.

Now, they can — and rightfully so — if we made a clerical mistake about a policy, that hasn't got nothing to do with somebody taking City property. And I disagree with Mr. Harris, respectfully. In my opinion, those personnel files were probably just as or more important than a tangible piece of property, because it does have personal information.

Now, I certainly don't think Dean would have given my social security number away.

But, if he did, somebody could steal my identity. I don't think he would, but my file was taken.

MR. HARRIS: So could somebody in that abandoned house.

MR. HOLLEY: Excuse me. I didn't interrupt you. Don't interrupt me. Okay? It doesn't matter. You can say people took files over there. You can say 25 people. But it don't change the fact that your client did it, too. That's the difference.

MR. HARRIS: Judge, we conceded that right from the start that he took the files.

MR. HOLLEY: Well, I want you all to understand that whatever you decide is going to set some precedent, and we've been trying to do things right. If you want to be able to continue to have effective department heads on management teams, you're going to have to stand behind them. Because, if you don't, you're going to have chaos down here.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Mr. City Manager?
MR. HOLLEY: Yes, sir.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: My concern again is due process of this employee.

MR. HOLLEY: Yes, sir. Ours, too. We've gone over backwards to do that.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: I understand. I understand. How long was it before we took
Mr. Van Meter's statement before he knew he was

being investigated?

2.0

MR. HOLLEY: That's a good question.

Mr. Harris talked about, Well, they didn't even ask him. When the police chief came to me and said I have information that personnel files belonging to the City were taken, at that point we really didn't know who. He had a good idea. Because Mr. Poe refused to tell him who took them. So I advised the police chief you need to start an internal investigation, because at that point we didn't know what all was involved.

We didn't have a duty to go to him right there. So he took his investigators and says, these are the people's names that we found out or he found out maybe knew something about it. We tried -- he tried to contact everybody that had any knowledge. And rightfully so, he contacted Mr. Van Meter. Dean came in with a printed typed -- pre-typed statement, and he gave another statement. Even talked about the Garrity Rule. That's a special protection for police officers to invoke that so that he can talk or he can answer questions. We don't have any -- nobody has any inkling to charge him

criminally. None whatsoever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So we've bent over backwards for due process. Okay? And, you know, they make some good points, you know. You bet your bottom dollar if we destroy records again, whatever it costs the City, we'll rent a commercial shredder and spend, I don't know, a thousand or two thousand dollars to shred files. time we ever done it. If you have to keep personnel records six years after an employee leaves -- my file was dated 1977. So nothing had been destroyed before then. We had run out And they can say you didn't do this and somebody maybe should have guarded it with a qun. My Lord, if he's a policeman, why didn't he can take possession of them?

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Mr. City Manager, do you believe that this would be just like a bad judgment instead of a theft charge?

MR. HOLLEY: No, sir, I don't. Any reasonable person knows that you don't take personnel files. Or if you see them, you go to somebody and ask them about it.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Have we ever had a meeting with employees and inform employees

what they should do when they come --1 MR. HOLLEY: Tony, you don't have to do 2 that. You don't tell an employee not to steal. 3 COUNCILMAN MALONE: When it comes to 4 personnel files and policy, have employees been 5 briefed? 6 MR. HOLLEY: Sir, I've not been here six 7 months --8 COUNCILMAN MALONE: I know you haven't. 9 What I'm saying is we haven't. 10 MR. HOLLEY: All I can say is this. I'm 11 sorry this has happened to this employee. 12 I had to consider the overall good of the City 1.3 and what would happen down the road. And I 14 want y'all to consider that, too. I'm sorry 15 I've known him a long time. And you for Dean. 16 can say everything you want to say. But how 17 many of you know -- you're a school teacher, 18 and you work for a corporation. You work for a 19 corporation. You work for an attorney 20 corporation. How many of you know you don't go 21 take a file that has somebody's name on it and 22 keep it? 23 COUNCILMAN MALONE: It wouldn't be theft. 24 MR. INGRAM: I don't think he kept it.

25

Mr. Holley, I don't think he kept it. 1 MR. HOLLEY: Yes, sir, he did keep it. 2 MR. INGRAM: No, sir, he did not keep it. 3 He returned the files. 4 MR. HOLLEY: No, sir, he didn't return 5 them. 6 MR. INGRAM: Wait a minute. Wait a 7 minute. You stopped. Now, don't interrupt me. 8 MR. HOLLEY: I wasn't finished. You 9 interrupted me, sir. 10 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Judge, I think we 11 probably need to go into --12 MR. HOLLEY: He didn't return them all. Не 13 gave them away to people. 14 MR. INGRAM: The fact of the matter is --15 the fact of the matter is that Mr. Don Phillips 16 contacted the City. 17 MR. HOLLEY: No, sir, he did not contact 18 the City. 19 Somebody contacted the City on MR. INGRAM: 20 behalf of Brian Poe. 21 MR. HOLLEY: No, sir, they did not. You're 22 wrong. Just like you were wrong when you 23 thought he just had the files a day or two. 24 No, sir. I contacted Don Phillips because we 25

had information -- the Chief of Police had 1 information that Mr. Van Meter had taken 2 files. One of them was Mr. Poe's, and that 3 Mr. Poe took it to his lawyer. 4 MR. INGRAM: So how did the Chief get that 5 information? 6 MR. HOLLEY: I don't know. Ask him. He's 7 here. Do you want to? 8 MR. INGRAM: Well, I'm sure you've already 9 asked him. Can't you answer my question? 10 MR. HOLLEY: I think another employee told 11 him. 12 That's what this all involves, MR. INGRAM: 13 though. Because Brian Poe and Don Phillips 14 made some sort of threat or you heard somebody 15 say, Going to sue the City again. 16 MR. HOLLEY: No, sir. That allegedly came 17 from your client or somebody connected to him. 18 MR. INGRAM: Well, my client never said 19 that. 20 MR. HOLLEY: Well, I tell you. I went to 21 -- Well, maybe Mr. Poe made the allegation. I 22 don't know. But, now, if you want to know the 23 truth, I don't know, but apparently Mr. Poe had 24 some sort of dealing with the City long ago. 25

2.0

It resulted in some sort of lawsuit. From what was told to me -- or this is like three times hearsay -- he felt concerned because there was certain information supposed to have been removed from his file. I don't know whether that's true or not. I did go to Mr. Phillips and made him aware. And Mr. Phillips says there was no confidentiality agreement to my knowledge in that; I will return your file. So Mr. Phillips brought the file to me, because he recognized it was city property. Did it within an hour or an hour and a half.

JUDGE MILFORD: Let me kind of interject in here. I think Councilman McCoy made a good point. We've moved into several statements that have been of a general nature of closing arguments, for lack of a better way to term them, even though we're not in a formal court setting.

Is there any other evidence that either side feels need to be presented?

MR. HARRIS: I would just like to address one thing that Judge Holley just said about the statement that I would like to clarify that Mr. Van Meter made. That he came in and had a

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

typed statement, that's correct. And then he gave another statement while he was there. There was also another statement there, and the statement that was given by him then at that time was written by Detective Morris and handed to Dean and told him to sign it. And he told him that, basically, in the statement -- wrote the statement for him, you know, I admit stealing these files. And Dean wouldn't sign So there was another statement there, too. And that was from the City, and that was basically -- The way this whole investigation was conducted was not a fact-finding mission, but more of a criminal-type investigation where you're trying to prove a case versus a fact-finding mission to find out what's going on and let these people make a decision. Holley and everybody else that's involved. you'll look at every one of those statements, three-quarters of them were written by Mr. Morris.

Now, I was a police officer for a lot of years myself, and I put a lot of people in jail over the years. And I've taken a lot of interviews over the years. And, as a rule of

thumb, a police officer does not write a statement for somebody unless they specifically ask him to because, number one, they don't have the educational requirements to write one that's legible or articulate enough to serve the purpose. And then you would write it for them and read it to them and let them sign it.

Now, you know, all of these statements were written by him. They were all signed a certain way. You're going to have a hard time convincing me Ms. Gunnels couldn't write her own statement. I met her the other day. Very articulate lady. Very nice. She can write her own statement. Dean could have wrote his own statement. Here's the statement, sign it. I don't like the one you've got.

JUDGE MILFORD: Does the City have any other evidence you want to present?

MR. NIX: We had planned to call Lieutenant Van Meter. I mean, but he's been forthcoming about his involvement. He's answered the questions we want to. At the appropriate time when Your Honor decides and when Mr. Harris and Mr. Ingram are comfortable with it because we want them to have the opportunity to present

whatever evidence they want to, then we just have a couple of comments to close, as I'm sure they do.

JUDGE MILFORD: Does Mr. Van Meter have any other evidence that he wants to present? Not in the nature of argument, but any other evidence?

MR. HARRIS: The only other thing, Judge, that I've got that I would like to present, I'd like to give a copy to every member here, and that's a copy of the *Code of Alabama* that says what the definition of theft of property is. So that when you do deliberate, you can see what the law says theft is. Not what I say and not what somebody else says, but you can see what the law says.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: I'm curious,
Mr. Harris. It's kind of insignificant. When
did I ever meet you?

MR. HARRIS: You know, I'm not sure.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: You said you've known me a long time.

MR. HARRIS: Well, I've known of you. I've known of Mr. Malone. I have known of Mr. Heard. I've known of Mr. Crawley. I grew

up several miles down the road from Judge
Milford. I've known Mike Yarbrough for 13
years. I know Kyle's family. I've never met
Kyle to know Kyle. I've known Judge for 30
years. There's not a person here -- my point
was I think everybody here wants to do what is
the right thing for the City of Lanett. I
mean, that's what this whole thing should be
about.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: I understand.

JUDGE MILFORD: Any other evidence that you want to present?

MR. HARRIS: I don't have to give that to them right this minute. But before they deliberate, I would like for them to have that.

JUDGE MILFORD: Does any member of the City Council have any questions? At this point, what I'd like to do by winding up is let each side have an opportunity to summarize their position. And then, Mr. Nix, I will ask you since you're more familiar with the City's policies than I am to indicate to the council how they should proceed from here.

MR. NIX: I will, Your Honor. Thank you very much. And basically --

23

24

25

JUDGE MILFORD: Does any member of the counsel have anything they want to say?

I do. I want to hear COUNCILMAN MALONE: from Ms. Gunnels.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Could we take a 10-minute break?

> JUDGE MILFORD: Yes.

> > (RECESS)

JUDGE MILFORD: Let the Record reflect the mayor and council are all present. Councilman Malone has asked Ms. Gunnels to present some evidence. Ms. Gunnels, would you raise your right hand?

(WITNESS SWORN)

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Ms. Gunnels, in your statement, you stated that you began to bring the personnel files into the hall on 9/23 of '05, to see what you had to burn. You also stated that you had a very heavy large-duty trash bag or file that was given to Captain Meecham for him and his shift to burn on that day, and they did not burn them on that day.

MS. GUNNELS: They did burn them on that day.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Now, on the 26th, you

25

stated that you had placed nine boxes in the hallway again to be burned. I think they burned the house on October the 4th.

> MS. GUNNELS: The 3rd. It was the 3rd.

MR. HOLLEY: Monday night.

MS. GUNNELS: They burned it after the council meeting.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: So the file that you gave him on the 23rd, they didn't burn all of There was some left from them at one time. your statement?

There were two boxes that MS. GUNNELS: were put into the trash bag, and they were taken out by Kelly Meecham on that Friday, the 23rd, and burned. And then there were nine more boxes that were going to have to be burned.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Were all of the items to be burned left in the hallway on that day?

Well, now, I take MS. GUNNELS: No. No. There were some files -- there was that back. a lot of boxes in the hallway. Because when we were moving, Focchan was moving into the old personnel office. And there were some computers there that could not be moved. So

Focchan and I had to swap offices. So Focchan had to move — the room was in a huge mess.

There were boxes everywhere, files everywhere in that room. So the boxes in the cabinets, the file cabinets had to be moved out in the hallway for Focchan to move in. That was sometime previous to September 23rd.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Did you give any

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Did you give any firefighters any special instructions to tell them what to do or not to do or make sure that nobody retrieved any files?

MS. GUNNELS: I said, these are personnel files. These are to be burned.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: You told that to who?

MS. GUNNELS: I told that to Kelly

Meecham. I told that to Tim Jennings, and I

believe Hal Shealey, also.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay.

JUDGE MILFORD: Mr. Nix, do you have any questions?

Mr. Harris, do you have any?

MR. HARRIS: No. I just got one thing, and we touched on this before, Ms. Gunnels. That was the only instructions they got, correct?

That you gave them as far as files, just that

they're personnel files and need to be burned? 1 MS. GUNNELS: Yes. As far as I remember. 2 But there were other boxes of other information 3 such as payroll stuff and so forth that were to 4 be burned, too. There was a whole hall full of 5 boxes. 6 MR. HARRIS: I quess my question -- let me 7 try to rephrase it. You didn't give any 8 special instructions that these are 9 confidential records; make sure nobody bothers 10 them? 11 MS. GUNNELS: I said, These are personnel 12 files. These are to be burned. 13 That was specifically what you MR. HARRIS: 14 said and nothing more? I just want to try to 15 be clear on what these people were told is 16 all. 17 MS. GUNNELS: I mean, I quess I assumed 18 that anyone would know that personnel files 19 were confidential. 20 MR. HARRIS: Thank you. 21 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: It has been made a lot, 22 and I just want to kind of -- because you work 23 in this area -- about this hallway. What is 24 the public access to this hallway? 25

1.9

MS. GUNNELS: The doors -- okay. This door on this side coming out from the fire department is open every day, but the public would not really know that. The fire department comes in and out of that door. The backdoor is to be -- by my office is to be kept locked at all times.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay. And then there's a door going into where Genelle and all of those are?

MS. GUNNELS: Yeah, but that has a code.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay. But, I mean, so the only one -- I'm just trying to determine, you know, because we made a big -- these files had been out in this hallway that anybody could walk by and get them or whatever. I'm just trying to determine how much public access is really in this hallway.

MS. GUNNELS: Well, you might have somebody coming by Jerry Thrower's office. But I would not say that there's a whole lot of traffic from the public out there, because really they wouldn't have that much business back there unless they were going to see Jerry Thrower.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay. And who all's

offices and what is in this hallway? 1 MS. GUNNELS: Okay. My office is over 2 there on the very end by the backdoor. 3 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay. 4 MS. GUNNELS: Jerry Thrower's is back 5 The kitchen is back there. But the 6 backdoor, the employees have a key to come in and out the city hall. 8 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay. 9 MS. GUNNELS: The access doors, all of 10 those doors we've been told by the auditors are 11 to be locked into the other offices which would 12 be utilities and whatever. 13 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: Okay. So personnel, 14 your office, the kitchen, the city building 15 inspector and --16 MS. GUNNELS: And, when I leave my office 17 over there, if I'm going to be gone any length 18 at all, I lock the door. 19 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: I'm just trying to 20 determine if this is a heavily common traveled 21 access or what? 22 MS. GUNNELS: No, I wouldn't say that it 23 is. 24 COUNCILMAN MCCOY: 25 Okay.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: Other than employees? 1 Other than city hall MS. GUNNELS: 2 employees. 3 COUNCILMAN MALONE: City hall employees? 4 MS. GUNNELS: Right. 5 COUNCILMAN MALONE: Did you follow any type 6 of maybe process or policy when you thought about destroying these files? Was there 8 anything in place that you went by? 9 MS. GUNNELS: We were not. We were not 10 throwing them away. We were to destroy them. 11 COUNCILMAN MALONE: Did you follow any type 12 of --13 There is -- we don't have a MS. GUNNELS: 14 policy, no. City of Lanett does not have a 15 policy about destroying files. This was 16 something regarding the State that you can 17 destroy them and they can be destroyed by 18 shredding or by burning. 19 COUNCILMAN MALONE: So you had to pull 20 something from the State? A website or 21 something another? 22 MS. GUNNELS: Debra had a book, and then I 23 pulled something off of the Internet. And we 24 kept up with everything that was in the boxes 25

what was to be burned, how much you burned and 1 so forth. 2 COUNCILMAN MALONE: Were you aware of 3 this -- what is that you all were handing out 4 while ago? 5 MR. HARRIS: Local Government Records 6 Commission. 7 COUNCILMAN MALONE: Are you aware of that? 8 MS. GUNNELS: No. 9 COUNCILMAN MALONE: That's all I have. 10 JUDGE MILFORD: Any other person or 11 attorney have a question, council person? 12 anyone have a question for Ms. Gunnels? 13 Do we need to receive any other evidence? 14 Mr. Dean, do y'all have anybody else that 15 you wanted to call? 16 MR. HARRIS: No, sir. 17 JUDGE MILFORD: Okay. At this time I think 18 it would be appropriate for Mr. Nix and Mr. Van 19 Meter's counsel, whichever ones chooses to do 20 so to give you a brief summation of their 21 positions in this matter. And then, Mr. Nix, 22 if you would follow that up --23 I will explain the process. 24 Again, and I express gratitude to Mr. Harris 25

and Mr. Ingram and Mr. Van Meter. They followed, I think, what was appropriate protocol in keeping this informal. They obviously are advocates for their client's position, which they should be.

I don't think any of the facts are disputed. I mean, we all know Dean and Bill and Jim have all conceded that we know Dean took the file or their position seems to be that it was "didn't realize that something was being done wrong."

We, of course, respectfully disagree. This seems to us to be pretty clear-cut that this was something that was obvious. He got on the back of a truck. He took files. They were being destroyed. He didn't seek anybody's permission to do so. Tellingly -- and I'm sure Mr. Harris and Mr. Ingram would interpret this differently than I would, since they distributed the State definition of theft. But I direct your attention to the first one which says, "A person commits the crime of theft if he knowingly obtains or exerts unauthorized control over the property of another with the intent to deprive the owner of his or her

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property." This was our property. He took it. He gave it to other people. It seems pretty clear-cut.

I want to remind you, though, that there are two charges here. One is a Group II offense of theft of property. The other is conduct unbecoming of an officer. There are two charges here that you need to consider.

Again, my hat is off to Bill and Jim. They've done what they should do for their This is more important than just this one employee. I like Dean Van Meter. known him all my life, doggone near it. I'm sorry he made this terrific mistake in judgment and committed a disciplinary offense. But, gentlemen, there's a change in the attitude of this City that this council has brought about in moving forward, in cleaning up abuses which have existed and attempting to I have a unique perspective in move forward. that I've seen this for many, many years. And finally you have department heads and city managers who have made difficult decisions.

If you think this was easy for them to do, you're wrong. It was not easy for them to do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the message this will send to the citizens of the City of Lanett is extremely urgent.

This is a defining moment in this City's history, and I don't mean to be mellow dramatic, but I couldn't emphasize that any more.

You hired the police chief. You hired the It's time to stand behind them. city manager. If you don't like the job they're doing, get rid of them. Get rid of Docimo. Get rid of Joel Holley. If you don't think the job they're doing is right, can them. But, if you do have faith in the people that you hire to run this City, then you need to support them. Because, if you don't, it's going to be more of The same old same old that has gone the same. down in this City for years. And finally this council has had the brass to stand up and say we've got to clean up the abuses that have taken place for all of these years. And one of them is to blindside the people that you hire to run this City.

I liken you as a board of directors of a corporation. You make policy. You pick a management team. If your management team

doesn't run the City or run your corporation the way you're supposed to, you fire them, right? But, if you hire the people that you have faith in, then you listen to them and you support them. This means more than just this one case, I'm telling you. This is going to send a message not only to the citizens of this City, but to every other employee in this City.

MR. INGRAM: Mayor and council, thank you for the opportunity to have a hearing here tonight with Mr. Van Meter. And Mr. Nix eloquently put it, as he said while ago, that a mistake was made here. I think there was a mistake of judgment was the term that you used. And at no time in this process have we denied that there was not a mistake made.

Our contentions were that once the realization of the mistake was made, that there were efforts to rectify that mistake. At no time did Dean try to take anybody's property for his own use or for anyone else's use. Those files that he took he thought were maybe the property of the person whose name were on the tab. He called those people whose names were on the tab of each one of those files and

says, Hey, I've got your old file, would you like to have it? Some of them said yes, and some of them said, well, if I'm going to get in middle of something because I've heard of an investigation, no, I don't want it. But at no time do I think that Dean Van Meter truly thought that he was actually depriving the City of any of its property. And, yes, that was city property. It was on city property when it was taken. Dean did not get in the back of a pickup truck and go scavaging through files. They were out there.

As he said, they were destroying files. He asked what they were doing. They said they told him what they were doing. No one has said, Dean, what are you doing going through those files there? Don't you know you can do that?

Well, you know, that may or may not be the responsibility of the firemen who were burning the files. We don't know. But we're not trying to lay blame on the City, the city manager, Ms. Gunnels, or anyone else. The files were taken; the files were returned. And the confidential information that Joel keeps

2 3 4

25

talking about, his social security number, well you know, Dean is a lieutenant in the police department. He can pull up anybody sitting here's social security number tonight with a computer. So, you know, it's not like that he's trying to steal somebody's confidential information. He has told y'all he didn't go through the files. They rode around in the back of the truck until he could find some of That's why I find it so hard for people not to believe what Dean Van Meter has said. mean, every day, every day of the week, you know, people like him that work for the City are in court testifying. And we take their word for it, because they swear under oath. You know, this is the way it was. And we have people in prison because of people like Dean that say this is what we did. This is a You know, the boy was honest from day He found out there was an investigation. That's when he returned them. And, no, his hands was not caught in the cookie jar and then started bringing them back. He found out there was a problem. He didn't know there was a problem to start with.

Mr. Nix talks about, well, we need to do something. We're going to clean up the City. We need to set a precedent. It's going to tell the people of Lanett we're doing our job in here, or y'all are doing your job in here. Well, gentlemen and ladies, what is this going to say to the rest of your employees? Because I want to tell you, you know, I live here just like the rest of you do. And I hear the talk on the street. I will be frank with you. Most people think Dean is getting a raw deal out of this. Most people really don't understand why he's being persecuted this way. And it's a fact that all you have got to do is listen, because it's out there.

If Dean had of taken those files and he had tried to done something for his own personal gain, you know, I probably wouldn't be here with him today. But he didn't. He made an honest mistake, a bad judgment mistake. And, if he didn't care for this place, he wouldn't be here today. It's not about a job. Dean is already vested in his retirement. He's not old enough to draw it, but he's already to that point. He doesn't have to go through this, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

he loves the City. He loves his job. He's been here for a length of time, and he was trying to do a good job.

I've known Dean -- I've been in Lanett over 30 years, and I've known Dean ever since I've been here. And I have never found him anything other than an honest, upstanding citizen and a fine police officer.

So all we're asking for y'all is to consider exactly what happened here. He took the files, yes. No problem. He brought the files back when he found out there was a problem. There was no intent to deceive anybody, no intent to deprive anybody. mistake was made. We're all human, and we've all made mistakes. But, to end a 27-year career because maybe or maybe not he has a disagreement or a cross personality with someone else in the City, maybe his department head, you know, the fact is that, you know, Dean wanted to be chief. He wasn't hired as chief. You know, how deep does this go with the chief's office? You know, I can't answer that. Dean can't answer it. Nobody can. But, you know, there's a few political overtones

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are in this case tonight. And you all know what I'm talking about.

So, when you go back there, I want you to consider the rest of the employees, what they're going to look at. Here's a man who spent 27 years. He made a mistake. He said, I'm sorry. He brought the property back. didn't try to deprive anybody of it, but he was terminated afterwards. So I appreciate your time and your attention. Thank you.

JUDGE MILFORD: Mr. Nix, if you would bring us up to speed on procedure at this point.

MR. NIX: Under the procedure, Mr. Mayor -and I've already cleared this with Mr. Van Meter and his counsel -- you basically will need to deliberate in the absence of all of parties including Ms. Daniel, Judge Milford, Mr. Ingram, Mr. Harris, and Mr. Van Meter and Ms. Melanie and Judge Holley. It will just be the council.

Our personnel policy basically gives you two alternatives, and I've written them out here for you so y'all can look. Because, when you reconvene, you will need to vote in public. You understand that. And I'm sure

23

24

25

Mr. Ingram and Mr. Harris -- and I will do the same thing -- will ask you to vote individually. They'll ask you to poll the council with your votes. There are basically two votes you can make. I've already shown this to Mr. Ingram and Mr. Harris. One is a motion to uphold the decision of the city manager, and that motion would simply read, "I move that we uphold the decision of the city manager regarding Lieutenant Dean Van Meter." If you decide not to uphold the decision of the city manager, I've prepared a second motion that says: "I move that we do not uphold the decision of the city manager regarding Lieutenant Dean Van Meter and recommend the following disciplinary action to be taken." which point you need to tell us, if you do not decide to uphold the decision of the city manager, what you do desire the city manager to He needs some direction.

So, Mr. Mayor, when the deliberations of the council -- Now, I'm going to absent the council chambers. As city attorney, you may want to call me for some legal issue. If that's the case, I would like to have either

We

Mr. Ingram or Harris come back in here with me 1 so that they can see that there's no ex parte 2 communication. And I think that would be 3 appropriate under the executive session rules. 4 So, Mr. Mayor, at this time it's incumbent 5 upon all of us here to vacate the premises with 6 everybody except council. 7 COUNCILMAN YARBROUGH: Can I just say 8 thanks? Not to interrupt nobody. I would like 9 to say, the Honorable Mr. Ingram and Harris, 10 you've been gentlemen. Thank you. 11 MR. INGRAM: Thank you. 12 MR. NIX: I echo that sentiment. 13 always have been. And Mr. Van Meter as well. 14 So, Mr. Mayor, at this point --15 MR. HARRIS: I've just got one request. 16 we could have the mayor and council could have 17 the material that I showed to you, Mr. Holley, 18 regarding the statute, State Record 19 Commission. 20 MR. NIX: Mr. Harris had presented to us 21 for your consideration. 22 JUDGE MILFORD: Let me ask one question. 23 Does your procedure require four votes? 24 Excellent. Thank you. Yes. MR. NIX: 25

2.0

have in order -- and I checked this with the Alabama League of Municipalities. Four votes to uphold the decision of the city manager would be a quorum of this council. A tie vote would have the effect of granting their appeal. I checked that with the Alabama League of Municipalities. If there's a tie vote, then your appeal succeeds.

JUDGE MILFORD: Or if you have a three-two vote it would not succeed.

MR. NIX: It would not succeed. You have to have -- in this instance we have every council member here. In most instances if somebody had gone, if Mr. Heard, for instance, hadn't been able to be here, then a three to two vote would have been sufficient. But I do tell the council -- and I checked this out because I had a question about it -- that in order to uphold the decision of the city manager, it would take a four-to-two vote. A three-to-two or a tie vote would not uphold his decision and would grant the success of Mr. Van Meter's appeal.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: That being said,
Mr. Nix, according to this, it says within 10

working days of the hearing.

MR. NIX: Good point. Thank you,
Mr. McCoy. While you do have to vote in open
session and you do have to reconvene this
session -- is it 10 days or five days?

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: The policy that I was looking for, it says within 10 working days of the hearing, the Board will make the final determination in the case. The decision of the Board is final.

MR. NIX: Yeah. I think that's the old one that talks about the personnel review board.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: It may be.

COUNCILMAN MALONE: It says 10 days if they're going to take it -- but we have got five days as the council.

MR. NIX: Back on that Attorney General's rule, it says we can have a personnel review board. I think that's correct. So what that means, gentlemen, is if you decide not to render a decision tonight and you do not, you have five working days to give Lieutenant Van Meter your decision. But, if you do not make that decision tonight, you're going to have to reconvene another meeting. And that meeting

would be open, because the sole purpose of that meeting would be to vote.

So we're going to absent the council chambers at this time.

COUNCILMAN MCCOY: I'm missing one thing, the conduct unbecoming an officer regulation. I can't find it.

MR. NIX: That's in the police regulations. I do not think, Mr. Mayor, with your consent -- Judge Milford has been most gracious to come and lend his time to the City. At this point I do not anticipate unless Mr. Harris and Mr. Ingram has anymore need for him, Judge Milford thank you very much for overseeing these proceedings.

MR. HARRIS: I appreciate it.

MR. NIX: We'll be outside, and I'll be available to answer any legal questions. But, if you call me in, then I will get them to come with me.

END OF PROCEEDINGS

1.0

STATE OF ALABAMA

COUNTY OF CHAMBERS

CERTIFICATE

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing proceedings were taken down by me in stenotype and the proceedings were reduced to typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.

Dated this 21st day of January, 2007.

Melanie H. Garner, CSR, RPR

Court Reporter